3D Printing BOF Meeting Minutes February 4, 2015

Meeting was called to order at approximately 10:45am PT February 4, 2015.

Attendees

Russell Brudnicki (Kyocera Document Solutions - call in)
Smith Kennedy (HP)
Daniel Manchala (Xerox)
Ira McDonald (High North - call in)
Joe Murdock (Sharp)
Jesse Sanchez (Intel)
Ole Skov (MPI Tech)
Mike Sweet (Apple - call in)
Paul Tykodi (TCS - call in)
William Wagner (TIC)
Rick Yardumian (Canon)

Agenda Items

- 1. IP Policy/Minutes
 - a. IP policy announced, Mike Sweet taking minutes
- 2. White Paper IPP 3D Printing Extensions (Mike)
 - a. http://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/BOFs/3d-printing/wd-apple-ipp3d-20150123.pdf
 - b. Extruder vs. Marker
 - Continue to investigate whether the Extruder and its supplies cleanly map to the existing Marker and MarkerSupply/Colorant properties
 - Units g/kg and m/mm for filament, I/ml for liquids?
 - c. 4.1.x: Functional vs. physical subunits?
 - E.g., motors might be used by/part of markers/extruders
 - Do we want to associate components with functional subunits, or just expose functional subunits?
 - Worth more discussion
 - Most of current Printer MIB is functional subunits
 - d. Q: Seems like there is a lot of low-level device stuff here and no standard 3D PDL, is that appropriate for IPP?
 - A: Right now there is no standard 3D PDL, trying to define an initial mapping to current G-code/S3G with some higher-level job ticketing/capabilities/status
 - Also current networked 3D printers basically provide a raw socket interface
 - e. Q: Is there a 3D Printer MIB?
 - A: No

- f. Q: Would there be interest from manufacturers in supporting SNMP?
 - A: Maybe, should include this in discussions with vendors
- g. Long-lived connections are a concern prints may take days to complete
 - Most printers cannot spool, everything must be streamed
 - Add a DTLS binding to deal with address changes/lost connections?
- h. Reservoirs
 - More like input tray than marker supply
 - Container for build material
 - Source material for the current layer being printed on the build platform
 - Needs more discussion
- Do we need a waste subunit for the bits of 3D objects that are removed (supports, etc.)
 - What about dissolvable material for supports print then drop in the solvent to clean, then remove?
 - Sort of like finishers for post-print processing
- j. Pictures needed!
- k. Q: Are we getting too involved in the details of mechanism?
 - A: We should define a "line" for how far we go for this first effort
 - Important to be able to report state and monitor for failures and pending failures
 - Identify key state that we need to monitor: extruder head, filament, etc.
 - Make detailed metrics out-of-scope initially
- I. URI for cameras (to view in browser)
- m. Continue to discuss white paper on 3d-printing@pwg.org list.
- n. Maybe schedule conference call(s) to discuss over the phone
 - SurveyMonkey/DoodlePoll to find an agreeable time?
 - Maybe also use Cloud WG time slot when there is no Cloud WG business?
- o. Do more outreach?
 - Anne Price is doing some of this
 - Bill has another company (Solid Scape) he can contact
- p. Should include Cloud in use cases obvious case both for managed printers and paid print services.

Next Steps / Open Actions

- Continue to discuss white paper on 3d-printing@pwg.org list and potential conference call.
- Reach out to 3D printer manufacturers
- Action: Mike and Paul to contact ASTM about opening AMF spec (ONGOING)