

Cloud Imaging WG Conference Call Minutes October 15, 2012

The scheduled Cloud WG conference call commenced at 3PM EDT on 15 October 2012. Since no one authorized to start the Webex was available, the meeting was held referring to locally accessed documents.

Attendees

The following members called in:

Daniel Manchala (Xerox)
Tim Mc Cann (KonicaMinolta)
Ron Nevo (Samsung) Chair
Glen Petrie (Epson)
Larry Upthegrove (End User call in)
Bill Wagner (TIC) Vice Chair
Rick Yardumian (Canon)
Pete Zehler (Xerox)

Introduction and Administrative Issues

1. IP Policy: Policy accepted
2. Minute Taker : Since the secretary was not attending, Bill Wagner agreed to take minutes
3. Minutes Review: Approved last face to face meeting minutes without comment..
<ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/cloud/minutes/cloud-f2f-minutes-20121001.pdf>
4. Webex facility: although a Webex link had been provided, no one knew the originator login code so, after spending some time on it, the meeting continued without Webex

Action Items Review

- Action: Mike to add new section 6 text to specification template (OPEN)
- Action: Larry to post update of Cloud Print Requirements and Model (done)
- Action: Bill/Ron to update milestones in Cloud WG charter (done)
- Action: Ira to update milestones in IPP WG charter (IPP action- open)
- Action: Joe to update definition of visible/visibility to cover AAA (IDS Action pending - definition proposed but subject to rework)
- Action: Paul to work on MSPS information from Justin for mapping document. (OPEN)
- Action: Mike to update PPD Mapping (OPEN- pending table updates)

Review draft of Revised Charter

- Draft at <ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/cloud/wd/wd-cloud-charter-20121004-RL.docx>
 - It was explained that the charted also modified the name of the working group to Cloud Imaging Model working group to agree with the reduced scope. There was no objection.
 - In relation to the added comments relating to the dependency upon the IPP or SM WG for bindings prototyping prior to submission of Cloud Model documents for PWG last call milestones, it was observed that the SM WG generated WSDL would act as a rapid mechanism for prototyping.

- It was requested (with no objection) that the “Implementation Stage” section of milestones be removed, since implementation is not within the scope of the Cloud Model Working Group.
- There were no other objections to the modifications in the red line draft.
- It was the consensus of the WG that the revised charted be submitted to the PWG Steering Committee for approval and that overall PWG membership approval was not necessary.
- With respect to when the transfer of Mapping activity to Semantic Model group should take effect, it was requested (with no objection) that the Cloud WG assist the SM WG by continuing to monitor progress of and to review additions to these documents until the SM WG was able to assume full management of these activities.

Review of Cloud Printing Requirements and Model document, as updated,

- Reviewed draft is posted at:
<ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/cloud/wd/wd-cloudmodel10-20121002.pdf>
 - Larry said that this draft reflects comments made at Oct 1 Virtual F2F
 - Line 252 – Printer and Cloud should have lower case p and c , respectively, removed
 - Line 258 – Common Log Format definition should be properly referenced.
 - Line 260 – Question of whether [] should include reference to charter or be eliminated.
 - Line 284 – Because there are no scenarios or use cases in section 3.2, sentence should be reworded to indicate that design requirements can be divided into Cloud side and User Side
 - Line 283- globally – ensure proper use and capitalization of compliance terms (MUST, etc)
 - Line 288-289 – reference to Front End and Back End should be corrected.
 - Line 295 – improved wording to distinguish what is in scope and what is not and to be consistent with subsequent statements
 - Line 298 and globally- Be consistent on Capitalizations of defined terms. If Cloud is a defined term (currently it is not), it should have initial caps. If it is not, it should not be all lower case.
 - Line 299 – “The User will select a printer of group of...” should be “The User will select a printer from a group of...”
 - Line 301 – Delete “This may be a multistep process ... a query of printer status.”
 - Line 303, 319 and global – Change “...the user Client...” to “...the Client...”
 - Line 310 and globally - Be consistent on Capitalizations of defined terms. If Client is a defined term (currently it is not), it should have initial caps. If it is not, it should not be all lower case.
 - Line 322, 323– Reword. Understanding that this is intended as a general observation prior to stating a requirement and that getting the status of one’s job does not require administrative rights, something line “Users check on their jobs and jobs’ status” might be appropriate. Also spelling and capitalization issues in text to be deleted.
 - Line 324 – Unclear if this requirement relates to Users who are just job request submitters or to Users who are administrators (Administrators are also classified as

users per MFD Model document) Job submitters must be able obtain the status of their jobs (with the understanding that jobs are spawned from a submitted job request in each active element in the path from User to physical printer), but that the User will have access to his Job information in the first element his print client interfaces with in the Cloud, the Cloud Print Service, and that the Cloud Print Service will adjust the status information on its version of the User's job on the basis of information it obtains from elements downstream on the status of the jobs they spawned ultimately in response to the User's Job request.

- If the requirement is just with respect to job originator Users, it appears that additional requirements for more information on jobs in general should be made with respect to Administrative Users.
- It was observed that, if jobs are created in each element of the path in response to a single job request, the document needs to be more clear about what it is referring to with the term "Job".

Next Steps and Action Items

1. Next conference call is October 29 at 3pm EDT
2. Action: Mike to add new section 6 text to specification template (SC Action OPEN)
3. Action: Larry to post new update of Cloud Print Requirements and Model
4. Action: Bill/Ron to submit Cloud WG charter draft to SC
5. Action: Ira to update milestones in IPP WG charter (IPP action- open)
6. Action: Joe to update definition of visible/visibility to cover AAA (IDS Action pending - definition proposed but subject to rework)
7. Action: Paul to work on MSPS information from Justin for mapping document. (OPEN)
8. Action: Mike to update PPD Mapping (OPEN- pending table updates)

Submitted by Bill Wagner, Cloud Imaging WG vice-chair, October 15, 2012