

PWG -Imaging Device Security (IDS) Working Group

Cupertino, CA - PWG F2F Meeting

October 15, 2009

Joe Murdock (Sharp)

Brian Smithson (Ricoh)

Agenda (AM)



- Administrivia:
 - Select minute-taker– Lee?
 - Introduction and roles of new co-chairs
 - IP policy statement:
“This meeting is conducted under the rules of the PWG IP policy”
 - Approve Minutes from October 1 conference Call
 - Review Action Items from October 1 conference call
- Review/discuss any revisions to ATR/NAP/NEA documents
- Discussion on SHV issues:
 - Review SCCM binding spreadsheet
 - SHV development alternatives (plug-ins, SHVs, who develops?, ...)
 - Reach decision on approach for HCD NAP deployment

Agenda (PM)



- Discuss Randy's issues with multiple processing resources on a single NIC ?
 - Info from Jerry Thrasher <http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/nea/current/msg01041.html>
- Discussion on remediation techniques
 - How does remediation work (in general)
 - Alternatives...
 - How?
 - What is our approach to reach a decision?
- Closing
 - IDS futures and "phase II" activity (?)
 - New action items and open issues
 - Conference call / F2F schedule
 - Adjourned

Action items review



Action Item #	Entry date	Original Due date	Planned date of completion	Actual date of completion	Assignee [-> others to do the same]	Type	Section	Action	Status A=abandoned C=complete H=on hold P=partial	Disposition
1	4/28/2009				Randy Turner	NEA		Randy Turner will try to find other contacts that would be willing to work with the PWG to help deploy NEA health assessment. (Juniper, Symantec, Cisco are suggested candidates.) Is someone willing to sit down with the PWG and "have discussions"?		
10	4/16/2009				Brian Smithson	TCG		Brian Smithson will investigate whether a formal relationship document can be created between TCG and PWG. He will find out their position on liaison agreements.	P	
22	8/18/2009				Joe Murdock	NAP Binding		Joe Murdock will examine the possible mapping of HCD attributes to SCCM and evaluate the resulting "HCD health assessment" benefit. [This should also result in a list of deficiencies and recommended extensions to be suggested to the MS NAP team.]	P	
23	8/18/2009				Jane Maliouta	Value Proposition		Jane Maliouta will take on the responsibility for creating a "value proposition" document to help justify the reason behind HCD NAP development. Peter Cybuck and Ron Nevo will provide market information as possible.		
24	8/18/2009				Randy Turner	NEA Binding		Randy Turner will ask the NEA e-mail list about their assumptions on modeling [sub-]components with regard to MFD subunits. (Why does NEA not address BIOS and/or NICs as components within a PC?)		
25	9/17/2009				Peter Cybuck			Peter Cybuck will do some market research about whether customers will accept the proposed method of gaining network access via SCCM.		

Document review



- HCD_ATR revision?
- HCD_NAP revision?
- HCD_NEA revision?
- Document schedule...

SHV issues



- SCCM binding spreadsheet

- SHV development alternatives?

- Decision on approach: _____

Multiple processes on one NIC



- <http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/nea/current/msg01041.html>
- Randy?

Remediation techniques



- How does remediation work (in general)
- Alternatives:
 - Vendor-specific?
 - HCD-industry-specific?
 - A more general solution?
- How?
- What is our approach to reach a decision?

Closing



- IDS futures and “phase II” activity (?)
- New action items and open issues
- Conference call / F2F schedule
 - Next call: October 29, 1PM Eastern
 - Any conflicts with November 12?
 - What to do about November 26? (last call before F2F, but it is T-day)
 - Next F2F: December 8, 9, or 10, at Dell, Austin TX
 - The PWG calendar is available on Google, so if you use Google Calendar you can get updates automagically:
<http://www.google.com/calendar/embed?src=istopwg%40gmail.com>
- Adjournment