IDS Conference Call Minutes: April 10, 2008

Attendees:

Attendee	Company
Ron Bergman	Ricoh
Pete Cybuck	Sharp
Ira McDonald	High North
Ron Nevo	Sharp
Jerry Thrasher	Lexmark
Bill Wagner	TCI
Dave Whitehead	Lexmark
Pete Zehler	Xerox

Review Action Item List:

Ron B. to generate a list of the TCG TNC attributes: A list could not be found. Ron Nevo indicated some attributes, such as software version, were briefly mentioned.

Ron B. to review the Microsoft documents to determine if any attributes are defined: Found O/S version, software patches installed, virus software version, and spyware software version.

Pete C. to provide an agenda proposal for the face-to-face meeting: (The proposal is now available at ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/white/.) As a first step we should look at how imaging devices are now manually assessed and then provide the same assessment criteria to an automated system provided by Cisco, TCG, Microsoft, or the IETF.

Jerry to review the Cisco documentation to determine what is applicable to our effort: The Cisco application appears to be the best starting point for our effort. They have defined an extensive list of data types and attributes, which we should examine. Cisco also allows the creation of attribute groups, so we can establish a unique criteria specific to imaging devices.

Jerry to contact Cisco to determine if there is a better source of information for this effort: A query has been sent. No response has been received.

Ron Nevo to contact the TNC chairman to see what attribute information is available: A query has been sent. No response has been received.

It was noted that imaging devices may contain multiple sets of code, such as interface software (possibly in a NIC), operating system software, application software, and subsystem software (such a finisher controller). Do the assessment attributes need to cover all sets of code?

Also, many imaging devices have multiple interfaces (e.g. a USB, 10/110 BaseT and a wireless). Do the assessment attributes need a report on all interfaces or only the connected interface?

Jerry indicated that multiple interfaces should only be an issue if they are bridged together.

Reporting of port status is expected to be a requirement. Having ports, such as telnet or FTP, open is a concern to most users, even if the functionality is severely restricted.

Next group meeting will be at the PWG face-to-face meeting on Thursday April 17.