

**IDS Working Group**  
2009-03-26 Conference Call Minutes

**1. Attendees**

Dave Whitehead	Lexmark
Randy Turner	Amalfi
Lee Farrell	Canon
Glen Petrie	Epson
Ira McDonald	High North
Nancy Chen	Oki Data
Shah Bhatti	Samsung
Ron Nevo	Sharp
Bill Wagner	TIC
Pete Zehler	Xerox
Mike Fenelon	Microsoft

Dave Whitehead opened the IDS session and provided the planned agenda topics:

- Identify minute taker
- Meeting conducted under rules of PWG IP Policy
- Review/approve previous Minutes
- Review Action Items
- Discussion on TNC/NEA
- Next Steps / Next Meetings

**2. Minutes Taker**

Lee Farrell

**3. PWG Operational Policy**

It was noted that all attendees should be aware that the meeting is conducted under the PWG Membership and Intellectual Property rules. There were no objections.

**4. Approve Minutes from March 12 Teleconference**

There were no objections to the previous Minutes.

**5. Review Action Items**

AI 001:	Randy Turner will try to find other contacts that would be willing to work with the PWG to help deploy NEA health assessment. (Juniper, Symantec, Cisco are suggested candidates.) Is someone willing to sit down with the PWG and “have discussions”?
---------	--

→ *Randy might have information after the IETF sessions close this week.*

→ **ONGOING**

# IDS Working Group

2009-03-26 Conference Call Minutes

AI 002: Joe Murdock will add NAP protocol information to document and update the conformance section.

→ **OPEN**

AI 003: Joe Murdock will include sequence diagrams as illustrative examples for the NAP binding document.

→ **OPEN**

AI 004: Dave Whitehead will coordinate with Randy Turner to generate a proposal to Microsoft on proceeding with obtaining NAP information on what they envision would be the content of a profile—including remediation. Need to identify the appropriate point of contact within Microsoft.

→ *Randy and Dave both have a preliminary list of questions. He and Dave will discuss them.*

→ *Mike Fenelon is scheduled to meet with "the Microsoft NAP guys" next week. He will attempt to coordinate between the PWG and the NAP team.*

→ **OPEN**

AI 005: Dave Whitehead will attempt to resolve the following issue:  
Which of the defined transport(s) are required to be supported in order to guarantee a device can attach to the network? MS defines DHCP, 802.1x, IPSec, and VPN and has extended each to add SOH information. So, in an environment where we are attaching wirelessly via 802.1x and receive our IP address from DHCP, what happens if we only support SOH over DHCP (or 802.1x)? Will we attach or fail?

→ *This issue will be added to the list of questions to be discussed with the MS people.*

→ **OPEN**

AI 006: Randy Turner will send a link to the updated Attributes document to the NEA WG, and solicit their comments.

→ **CLOSED**

AI 007: Someone (Dave Whitehead? Brian Smithson?) will compile a set of questions that are intended for Microsoft—and maintain the answers on an ongoing basis for future reference.

This list should include the topic of the four SOH attributes:

- MS-Quarantine-State
- MS-Machine-Inventory
- MS-Packet-Info
- MS-CorrelationId

→ *Randy and Dave both have a preliminary list of questions. He and Dave will discuss them.*

→ *Mike Fenelon is scheduled to meet with "the Microsoft NAP guys" next week. He will attempt to coordinate between the PWG and the NAP team.*

→ **ONGOING**

AI 008: Ron and Dave will maintain an Action Item spreadsheet that assigns unique IDs to each Action Item and retains the resolution history.

→ **OPEN**

## **6. Discussion on TNC/NEA**

Randy has submitted the Attributes specification to the IETF NEA WG. We are awaiting their feedback.

The PA and PB documents have gone through NEA WG Last Call. The WG Last Call closed on March 21. A few modifications will be made to the documents based on comments received, and the updated specifications will then be issued for an IETF-wide Last Call.

After (and hopefully in parallel to) the IETF-wide Last Call period, the NEA WG will update their Charter to focus on the task of defining a mandatory transport protocol.

[On a practical basis, it is generally expected that the transport will *probably* end up as an EAP-based transport. However, things need to go through the formal IETF review process—and surprises could happen.]

The current expectation is that a draft proposal for the transport protocol will be published some time in the July-August timeframe. This timeline assumes that the re-Chartering and the Requirements definition effort for the transport protocol go smoothly.

## **7. Attributes Document**

Shah Bhatti volunteered to submit the IDS Attributes document to the TCG—and ask for their feedback.

## **8. TCG HCWG**

A meeting on April 1 is planned to discuss the re-Chartering of the TCG Hardcopy Working Group activities. Shah is still awaiting TCG approval for including non-TCG members in this discussion.

## **9. Next Teleconference**

Dave will be on vacation next week. He suggested that the next teleconference should be postponed until April 16, 2009 at 1:00 PM EST.

## **10. Summary of New Action Items and Issues**

No new items.

IDS meeting adjourned.