INTERNET-DRAFT
<draft-ietf-ipp-finishings-attr-valsfold-trim-bale-00.txt990921-rev.doc>

C. Manros
Xerox Corporation
T. Hastings
Xerox Corporation
D. Fullman
Xerox Corporation
October 20, 1999

Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: "finishings" 'fold', 'trim', and 'bale' attribute values extension

Status of this Memo

Abstract

This document specifies the additional enum values 'fold', 'trim', and 'bale' for the IPP/1.10 "finishings" Job Template attribute for use with the Internet Printing Protocol/1.10 (IPP) [ipp-mod, ipp-pro]. This attribute permits the client to specify additional finishing options, including values that include a specification of a coordinate system for the placement of finishings operation with respect to the corners and edges of portrait and landscape documents.

The full set of IPP documents includes:

- Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol [RFC2567]
- Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol [RFC2568]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics [ipp-mod]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport [ipp-pro]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide [ipp-iig]
- Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols [RFC2569]

The "Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol" document takes a broad look at distributed printing functionality, and it enumerates real-life scenarios that help to clarify the features that need to be included in a printing protocol for the Internet. It identifies requirements for three types of users: end users, operators, and administrators. It calls out a subset of end user requirements that are satisfied in IPP/1.0. A few OPTIONAL operator operations have been added to IPP/1.1.

The "Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol" document describes IPP from a high level view, defines a roadmap for the various documents that form the suite of IPP specification documents, and gives background and rationale for the IETF working group's major decisions.

The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport" document is a formal mapping of the abstract operations and attributes defined in the model document onto HTTP/1.1 [RFC2616]. It defines the encoding rules for a new Internet MIME media type called "application/ipp". This document also defines the rules for transporting over HTTP a message body whose Content-Type is "application/ipp". This document defines a new scheme named 'ipp' for identifying IPP printers and jobs.

The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide" document gives insight and advice to implementers of IPP clients and IPP objects. It is intended to help them understand IPP/1.1 and some of the considerations that may assist them in the design of their client and/or IPP object implementations. For example, a typical order of processing requests is given, including error checking. Motivation for some of the specification decisions is also included.

The "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols" document gives some advice to implementers of gateways between IPP and LPD (Line Printer Daemon) implementations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Additional values for the "finishings" Job Template attribute

1.1 Problem

Need additional enum values for finishing to specify which of four corners to put a single staple, which of four edges to put two staples, and generic values for the following: fold, trim, bale, saddle stitch and edge stitch ~~generic values~~.

1.2 Suggested solution

This solution has been proposed at two previous meetings with comments returned and incorporated. The suggestion is to add additional enum values to the "finishings" Job Template attributes (also applies to "finishings-default" and "finishings-supported" attributes).

Coordination with the Finisher MIB has been done. There appears to be no direct way to use the same enum values, since the Finisher MIB divides up finishing into separate enum values by type. So all the stapling is done as a separate enum. Also all the punching is done as a separate enum.

The coordinate system scheme has been selected to agree with the Finisher MIB which in turn follows the ISO DPA approach of using a coordinate system as if the document were portrait. The approach for coordinate system being relative to the intended reading direction depends on the device being able to understand the orientation embedded in the PDL, which is too problematic for many PDLs. The approach for the coordinate system of being relative to the media feed direction is to dependent on the way the device is currently set up, i.e., pulling short edge first vs. long edge first, and can vary between different output-bins in the same device.

Additional (new) keyword symbolic names of these enum values are:

fold
trim
bale

Although not a part of this specification, more specific values for saddle-stitch and fold could be considered once adequate definitions have been developed. Some examples are:

saddle-stitch-single-long
saddle-stitch-single-short
saddle-stitch-dual-long
saddle-stitch-dual-short
fold-in-half-long
fold-in-half-short
fold-in-thirds-long
fold-in-thirds-short
fold-z-long

fold-z-short

1.3 Proposed Text

Add the following paragraphs indicated with revision marks to the description of the "finishings" Job Template attribute, section 4.2.6, so that the entire section would be:

4.2.6 finishings (1setOf type2 enum)

This attribute identifies the finishing operations that the Printer uses for each copy of each printed document in the Job. For Jobs with multiple documents, the "multiple-document-handling" attribute determines what constitutes a "copy" for purposes of finishing.

Standard enum values are:

Value	Symbolic Name and Description
'3'	'none': Perform no finishing
'4'	'staple': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples. The exact number and placement of the staples is site-defined.
'5'	'punch': This value indicates that holes are required in the finished document. The exact number and placement of the holes is site-defined The punch specification MAY be satisfied (in a site- and implementation-specific manner) either by drilling/punching, or by substituting pre-drilled media.
'6'	'cover': This value is specified when it is desired to select a non-printed (or pre-printed) cover for the document. This does not supplant the specification of a printed cover (on cover stock medium) by the document itself.
'7'	'bind': This value indicates that a binding is to be applied to the document; the type and placement of the binding is site-defined.
'8'	'saddle-stitch': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along the middle fold. The exact number and placement of the staples and the middle fold is implementation and/or site-defined.
'9'	'edge-stitch': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along one edge. The exact number and placement of the staples is implementation and/or site-defined.
'10'	'fold': Fold the document(s) with one or more folds ~~parallel to one edge~~. The exact number and orientations of the folds is implementation and/or site-defined.
'11'	'trim': Trim the document(s) on one or more edges. The exact number of edges and the amount to be trimmed is implementation and/or site-defined.
'12'	'bale': Bale the document(s). The type of baling is implementation and/or site-defined.
'13~~2~~'-'19'	reserved for future generic finishing enum values.

The following values are more specific stapling and stitching values; they indicate a corner or an edge as if the document were a portrait document (see section 1.3.1):

'20'	'staple-top-left': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples in the top left corner.

'21' 'staple-bottom-left': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples in the bottom left corner.

'22' 'staple-top-right': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples in the top right corner.

'23' 'staple-bottom-right': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples in the bottom right corner.

'24' 'edge-stitch-left': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along the left edge. The exact number and placement of the staples is implementation and/or site-defined.

'25' 'edge-stitch-top': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along the top edge. The exact number and placement of the staples is implementation and/or site-defined.

'26' 'edge-stitch-right': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along the right edge. The exact number and placement of the staples is implementation and/or site-defined.

'27' 'edge-stitch-bottom': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along the bottom edge. The exact number and placement of the staples is implementation and/or site-defined.

'28' 'staple-dual-left': Bind the document(s) with two staples (wire stitches) along the left edge.

'29' 'staple-dual-top': Bind the document(s) with two staples (wire stitches) along the top edge.

'30' 'staple-dual-right': Bind the document(s) with two staples (wire stitches) along the right edge.

'31' 'staple-dual-bottom': Bind the document(s) with two staples (wire stitches) along the bottom edge.

'32'-'79' reserved for future specific stapling, stitching and folding enum values.

1.3.1 Coordinate system for enum values

The values, for which the symbolic name contains “top”, “bottom”, “left” and “right”, are specified with respect to the document as if the document were a portrait document. If the document is actually a landscape or a reverse-landscape document, the client supplies the appropriate transformed value. This applies to values such as 'staple-xxx' and 'edge-stitch-xxx'. For example, to position a staple in the upper left hand corner of a landscape document when held for reading, the client supplies the 'staple-bottom-left' value (since landscape is defined as a +90 degree rotation from portrait, i.e., anti-clockwise). On the other hand, to position a staple in the upper left hand corner of a reverse-landscape document when held for reading, the client supplies the 'staple-top-right' value (since reverse-landscape is defined as a -90 degree rotation from portrait, i.e., clockwise).

The angle (vertical, horizontal, angled) of each staple with respect to the document depends on the implementation which may in turn depend on the value of the attribute.

Note: The effect of this attribute on jobs with multiple documents is controlled by the "multiple-document-handling" job attribute (section 4.2.4) and the relationship of this attribute and the other attributes that control document processing is described in section 16.3.

If the client supplies a value of 'none' along with any other combination of values, it is the same as if only that other combination of values had been supplied (that is the 'none' value has no effect).

2 IANA Considerations

These "finishings" type2 enum attribute values will be published by IANA according to the procedures in RFC 2566 [rfc2566] section 6.1 with the following URL:

ftp.isi.edu/iana/assignments/ipp/attribute-values/finishings/fold-trim-bale.txt

3 Internationalization Considerations

Normally a client will provide localization of the enum values of this attribute to the language of the user.

4 Security Considerations

This extension poses no additional security threats or burdens than those in IPP/1.0 [RFC2566, RFC2565] and IPP/1.1 [ipp-mod, ipp-pro]. However, implementations MAY support different access control to various finishing features, depending on the identity of the job submitting user.

5 References

[ipp-iig]
Hastings, T., Manros, C., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: <draft-ietf-ipp-implementers-guide-v11-00.txt>, work in progress, September 27, 1999.

[ipp-mod]
R. deBry, T. Hastings, R. Herriot, S. Isaacson, P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", <draft-ietf-ipp-model-v11-03.txt>, work in progress, June 1999.

[ipp-pro]
Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Tuner, R., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport", <draft-ietf-ipp-protocol-v11-03.txt>, work in progress, June 1999.

[RFC2565]
Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Tuner, R., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Encoding and Transport", RFC 2565, April 1999.

[RFC2566]
R. deBry, T. Hastings, R. Herriot, S. Isaacson, P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics", RFC 2566, April 1999.

[RFC2567]
Wright, D., "Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol", RFC 2567, April 1999.

[RFC2568]
Zilles, S., "Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol", RFC 2568, April 1999.

[RFC2569]
Herriot, R., Hastings, T., Jacobs, N., Martin, J., "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols", RFC 2569, April 1999.

[RFC2639]
Hastings, T., Manros, C., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Implementer's Guide", RFC 2639, July 1999.

6 Author's Addresses

Tom Hastings
Xerox Corporation
737 Hawaii St. ESAE 231
El Segundo, CA 90245

Phone: 310-333-6413
Fax: 310-333-5514
e-mail: hastings@cp10.es.xerox.com

Don Fullman
Xerox Corporation
737 Hawaii St. ESAE 231
El Segundo, CA 90245

Phone: 310-333-8342
Fax: 310-333-5514
e-mail: dfullman@cp10.es.xerox.com

7 Full Copyright Statement