Meeting Minutes

PWG MFD Semantic Model Teleconference
August 9, 2007

Attendees:

Peter Zehler	Xerox
Nancy Chen	Oki Data
Mike Fenelon	Microsoft
Ira McDonald	High North
Bill Wagner	TIC
Lee Farrell	Canon
Glen Petrie	Epson
Kei Sando	Oki Data

Meeting Minutes of the last teleconference on August 9 was approved without change.

Discussion of Issues and Comments –
The group discussed issues documented in the draft by Pete and Nancy and comments raised by David Whitehead in page order.

- What is 'scan instruction sheet? Should we define it? Use case? (DW)
    1. This is a hardcopy scan template which becomes a scan ticket after scanned and processed.
    2. This definition will be added into Terminology section.
    3. Section 3.3.1.9 "Walk-up Batch Scan" is the corresponding use case.
    4. Question was raise whether the format and content of the hardcopy scan template should be defined. The group discussed and agreed that the standard should at least define its interoperable content/format. But this should be deferred until scan job and template schemas are finalized.
    5. Question was raise on what "multi-document batch scan" means – Discussions:
        - This could indicate a single document scanned with different instructions for different pages, or multiple documents each has a hardcopy scan instructions. Currently the scan service model only has a single document object per job which has not considered this use case. Supporting multiple documents can be added as an optional when the requirements from Batch Scan use case become clear later.
        - Decision: temporarily change 'Multi-document' to 'Single or Multiple document'.

- Mailbox terminology: Are we out-of-scope?(DW)

    1. It looks like people often mistaken this as an email mailbox. This is an internal storage area often used in MFD for storing an individual's document. This is not a messaging mailbox. The definition will make the note.

- Add 'target scan service' to line 254 (DW) – agreed.
- Issue: Should there be a validate Template operation? The validation is syntax checking only. (PZ)

1. A Template manager could be independent software or a part of scan service that does not assume any context of a specific scan service. Only syntax checking for the well-formed schema shall be mandated, not validation of the capabilities supported by a specific scan service. Since there is no way to ensure that implementers will use a tools that performs "well-formed" schema validation, this must be mandated for all "Get' and "Put" operations in scan service. Therefore, there is also a mandated syntax checking for "PutTemplate" operation before the templates are stored.
- Accepting a Template Validation request is optional for scan service (DW)
    1. Agreed.
- Template Validation for PutTemplate is optional (DW)
    1. This must be Mandatory.
- All PutTemplate request messages may not be internal. E.g. PutTemplate to a remote repository. (DW)
    1. For a 'Walk-up user template creation' use case, PutTemplate to a remote repository is a excessive requirement.
    2. Decision: PutTemplate operation shall store all template locally. No support for remote template repository. Change the scenario diagram to remove the remote repository requirement.
- Should we have a "Manual Completion mode" that requires the user to confirm when the job is finished ? (NC)
    1. This is not a requirement. But there shall be a time-out that is configurable by Administrator after the originals are exhausted from the input tray for waiting for the next set of input originals.
- In 'Walk-up Scan with Pre-created Scan Template' use case diagram, at bottom: GetScanJobElements or GetScanJobStatus? No QueryScanJobStatusResponse. (DW)
    1. GetScanJobElements will return all elements in a Scan Job that includes Scan Job Status. No need for QueryScanJobStatusResponse.

- **Peter Zehler will update the Scan Service schema to reflect the updates since last face-to-face meeting.**

- **Next teleconference is in two weeks – August 23, 2007 at 3pm EDT.**