

PWG WIMS

Conference Call Minutes

September 15, 2011

W.A. Wagner, CoChairman PWG WIMS/PMP

1 Attendees

Ira McDonald	High North/Samsung
Glen Petrie	Epson
Bill Wagner	TIC
Pete Zehler	Xerox

2 General

- Meeting was convened at 1 PM EDT, September, 2011 and ended about 1:50 PM EDT
- Notice was made that the meeting was held in accord with the PWG Intellectual Property Policy. There were no objections.
- Minutes of the WIMS September 1 (ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/minutes/wims_110901.pdf) conference call were accepted without comment
- The agenda was modified to include review of the current MFD Alerts document, <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/pmp/wd/wd-pmpmfdalerts10-20110902-rev.pdf>

3 Action Items Review

- The action items listed in the slides (ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/f-2-f_slides/WIMS-WG-Meeting-October-2011.pdf) were considered
- Survey on approach to PWG Imaging Power Management MIB compatibility demonstration - complete
- Consensus on eman reference to PWG Power State Series - open
- Update to MFD Alerts document reflecting comments - done
- Resolve question of relation with MPSA and MPSA data Security article – open
- CIM Printing Updates and Printer Profile – Open, but may get attention from Rick and may be expanded to reflect additions via IPP, SM
- CWMP Considerations – transferred to BOF

4 Consider Draft of October Face-to-Face slides

- Slides were posted at ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/f-2-f_slides/WIMS-WG-Meeting-October-2011.pdf
- Time slot added in agenda to discuss CIM imaging classes, update to include new elements, and extension to MFD
- Objection to the term “blind” in discussion of Power MIB Demo
- Regardless of Power MIB Demo survey results, demo should not require submission of *.pcap files
- Ira indicated that he had not responded to eman on PWG Power State series registration – Slides modified accordingly
- MFD Alerts slide modified to reflect September 2 draft revision

5 Update MFD Alerts Document

- Changes in document (<ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/pmp/wd/wd-pmpmfdalerts10-20110902-rev.pdf>) were accepted without comment.

- Because specification also gives IPP compliance requirements, it was suggested that IPP group also be alerted to comment on the document.
- It was agreed that, although PWG Process does not require it, both SNMP and IPP prototype statements were desirable. Ira suggested that, rather than hold up the document advancement if IPP prototype statement is not received, the IPP provisions could be removed.

6 Action Items

- Next meeting at f2f on 6 October.